



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office	
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,085	05/02/2001	Daryl Carvis Cromer	RPS920000109US1	7195
7590 08/10/2004 BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P.			EXAM	INER
			JACKSON, JENISE E	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW P.O. BOX 969		A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78767-0969			2131	9
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			<u>'</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
OSCI Antion Organization	09/847,085	CROMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jenise E Jackson	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed oirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unit	This action is non-final. owance except for formal ma		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the c	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey orrection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date if Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/847,085

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-12, 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Golding et al.
- 3. As per claim 1, Golding et al. discloses a method in a data processing system for maintaining security during booting of the data processing system(see col. 2, lines 18-27), during a boot process, interrogating a boot device for password information(see col. 5, lines 5-11); and in response to the boot device supplying password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device, booting the data processing system utilizing the boot device(see col. 5, lines 18-26).
- 4. As per claim 3, Golding et al. discloses wherein interrogating the boot device for password information includes startup software interrogating the boot device(see col. 5, lines 5-11).
- 5. As per claim 4, Golding et al. discloses wherein interrogating the boot devices for password information includes interrogating a plurality of boot devices in sequence according to a priority order until a boot device supplies password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device(see col. 3, lines 39-51, col. 5, lines 18-26, 33-38).
- 6. As per claim 5, Golding et al. discloses storing a password in non-volatile storage of the data processing system(see col. 2, lines 42-45, col. 4, lines 21-22; and determining that the boot

Application/Control Number: 09/847,085

Art Unit: 2131

device has supplied password information corresponding to a trusted boot device(see col. 5, lines 18-26) by hashing(i.e. masking) password information supplied by the boot device and comparing the hashed password information with the stored password(see col. 4, lines 25-40).

- 7. As per claim 6, Golding et al. discloses obtaining the password by interrogating the boot device for the password information with a password-protected configuration routine (see col. 5, lines 5-11, 18-26).
- 8. As per claim 7, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 1(see above). Also, Golding et al. discloses a memory coupled to the processor for communication(see col. 3, lines 26-35), memory includes startup software(see col. 3, lines 38-44).
- 9. As per claim 9, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 4.
- 10. As per claim 10, limitations have already been addressed(see claim 5).
- 11. As per claim 11, Golding et al. discloses the startup software including a password protected configuration routine that obtains the password by interrogating the boot device for the password information(see col. 5, lines 5-11).
- 12. As per claim 12, it is rejected under the same basis as claim 1. Further, claim 12, discloses wherein the startup software causes a data processing system to interrogate the boot device(see col. 3, lines 38-46).
- 13. As per claim 14, limitations have already been addressed(see claims 3-4).
- 14. As per claim 15, limitations have already been addressed(see claim 5).
- 15. As per claim 16, limitations have already been addressed(see claim 3 and 6).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/847,085

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 16. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 17. Claims 2, 8, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Golding et al. in view of Parzych et al.
- 18. As per claims 2, and 8, 13, Golding does not disclose, wherein the password information includes at least a serial number of a device; however, Parzych does disclose password information includes at least a serial number of a device(see col. 4, lines 32-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include password information includes at least a serial number of a device of Parzych et al. in Golding, because, if a user forgets the password the user can contact the manufacturer in order to obtain password(see col. 4, lines 35-38).
- 19. Claims 17-19 are objected to as being dependent on reject base claims. However, if rewritten in independent form would be allowable. The claims are objected to for the following limitation, booting the data processing system utilizing the boot device without entry of any of the password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device by a human user. Prior art, discloses that in order to boot the computer a password must be entered in order to boot. Also, in order to change the order of a boot device, the user must enter the password first. This is in contrast, to the limitation above.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,085

Art Unit: 2131

Response to Amendment

20. The Applicant states that Golding nor Parzych does not disclose startup software that, when executed by the processor during a boot process, interrogates the boot device for password information, and responsive to the boot device supplying password information corresponding to that of a trusted boot device, boots the data processing system utilizing the boot device. Golding discloses interrogates the boot device for password information, because the password is stored on the diskette or fixed disk(see col. 5, lines 50-68, col. 6, lines 1-67). The user enters the password and the boot device, is allowed to boot, but the corresponding password is stored on the boot device itself. Therefore, this limitation is meet by Golding.

Final Action

21. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2131

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenise E Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-0426. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00 a.m. - 3:30 p.m.) alternate Friday's.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (703) 305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 5, 2004

EMMANUEL L. MOISE PRIMARY EXAMINER P/4 2/36